

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/021,871 | NAIDU, A. SATYANARAYAN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Deborah K. Ware | 1651 | |

All Participants:

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(2) Louis Cullman.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
art rejections removed

Claims discussed:
1-33

Prior art documents discussed:
prior art removed

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Representative authorized the examiner's amendment to put claims into condition for allowance. Also Applicant's Representative requested consideration of prior art cited in a search report of which a copy has been provided.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DEBORAH K. WARE
PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)